Premier Of Alberta Offers To Co-operate In Effecting Change

Mr. Aberhart Says His Govt. Is Willing to Help Efforts Of All Who Honestly Desire to See Change in Monetary System Accomplished. Declares "Appalling Havoe Produced by the Present Private Monopoly's Control of Money System."

should control the money system, the premier promised Alberta's Social Credit government is "willing to co-operate whole-heartedly with all who honestly desire to see this change in our monetary system accomplished."

entire economic life of the nation could be ultimately sabotaged or made impossible by foolish, wicked or unscientific control of the monetary system. This is the situation which confronts us at the present time.

Manipulation of Money
"It is now common knowledge that most of the economic havoc of the past and of the present has been caused by the deliberate manipulation of money to serve the selfish interests of its con-

"The private money monopoly, therefore, having caused this devastation, it logically follows that there is but one other course open to us, namely the introduction of government control of the money system."

Declaring the control of the monetary system is a sovereign right, Mr. Aberhart asserted the money system now is not under control of government and quoted Finance Minister Ilsley as saying in the House of Commons a short

time ago:
"... I have no right, nor has the government any right to dictate to the Bank of Canada as to what its policies shall be."

Mr. Aberhart commented:

"Whatever were the circumstances in which that statement was made, it shows clearly that Parliament is not supreme in the control of even the Bank of Canada let alone the chartered banks." Text of Statement

Following is the text of Mr.

Aberhart's statement:

When we begin to give our careful consideration to the great question of monetary reform, one of the first things that comes to our minds is the power of money and its control.

It is evident that somebody

must control the operation of the monetary system. Hopeless confusion leading to collapse would inevitably result if money was issued without any specific author-

that it should not be a commodity but simply a medium of exchange to facilitate the distribution of goods and services. It need have no value apart from this.

Most people surely can realize, therefore, what unfortunate results might be experienced if the monetary system was allowed to run without control and without definite direction.

Furthermore, it should be noted

EDMONTON, July 27—(C.P.)— omy money is the accepted title Premier Aberhart in a prepared to goods. Hence without money that ement issued here yesterday the exchange and distribution of said "the appalling havoc which goods becomes stalemated or has been produced by the present private monopoly's control of the money system should convince every person that there must be change."

goods becomes statemated of seriously handicapped. Barter would be cumbersome and hope-lessly inadequate under modern conditions. So, you see without money or credit consumption would be seriously restricted. Indeed, if Reiterating previous suggestian be seriously restricted. Indeed, if that the Dominion government we are not allowed to have money or credit we cannot travel, and we are denied legitimate recreation and enjoyment. What could we have without money?

It is, therefore, clearly apparent that the control of money affects every aspect of human life. As a The statement declared "the matter of fact, the entire economic life of the nation life of the nation could be ultimately sabotaged or made impossible by a foolish, wicked or unscientific control of the monetary system. This is the situation which confronts us at the present

> Progressive modern economists fully recognize that the control of money and credit constitutes the key to economic security and

> > Who Should Control.

It is but natural, therefore, that we should ask: "Who has control of this money power today and what have been the results of that

present in the hands of a highcentral banking monopoly.

Such is the power this control gives the money monopolists that no one can enter industry nor engage in production without coming under their domination.

It is now common knowledge that most of the economic havoc of the past and of the present has been caused by the deliberate manipulation of money to serve the selfish interests of its con-trollers. Industries have been wrecked, time and again, by rigid foreclosures and heartless credit restriction. Money has been intentionally kept in short supply by the money changers and con-trollers of finance in order to increase its scarcity value, and thus yield them greater profits

and increased power.

Businessmen know by bitter experience that shortage of money causes curtailment of production and trade on account of the resulting lack of purchasing power. This slow-up in turn tends to unemployment, with its terrible harvest of poverty, undernourishment, disease, crime, suffering and a host of attendant evils.

"Appalling Havoc."

The appalling havoc which has been produced by the present

ity and without a scientific basis private monopoly's control of the money system should convince Money differs fundamentally every person that there must be om staple or other products in a change.

The private money monopoly, therefore, having caused this devastation, it logically follows that there is but one other course open to us, namely, the introduction of government control of the money system.

A Sovereign Right.

No one can deny that the control of the monetary system is fundamentally a sovereign right. This was realized by the founder that in our complex modern econ- of the modern money power,

Ministers Have Tea With Commander of Canadian Corps.



Photo-Public Information.

Lieut. General A. G. L. McNaughton, Canadian Corps Commander; Hon. C. G. Power (center), and Hon. Ian Mackenzie, Canadian Minister of Pensions and National Health, pause for tea at one of the Canadian Auxiliary Services tea wagons, after watching a practice parachutist attack on a Quebec Regiment. The ministers returned to Ottawa a few days ago.

credited with saying:

"Permit me to issue and control a nation's money and I care not who makes its laws." A great statesman and monetary

reformer, Abraham Lincoln, also stressed the sovereign right of the government to control money in

The privilege of creating and issuing money is not only the supreme prerogative of government, but it is the government's greatest creative opportunity."

control?"

These are but a few examples
People generally know that this
vital control of money is at could be produced to establish this point, if space permitted.

At the same time it must be freely admitted by all that the money system is not under the control of the government today. Only the other day the minister of finance told the House of Commons in Ottawa:

. I have no right, nor has the government any right to dictate to the Bank of Canada as to what its policies shall

be."
Whatever were the circumstances in which that statement was made, it shows clearly that Parliament is not supreme in the control of even the Bank of Canada, let alone the chartered banks. If further evidence of this were needed it can be found in the replies given to the follow-ing questions asked in the House of Commons:

Q .- Are the minutes of the meetings of the directorate (of the Bank of Canada) available to the members of the House of Commons?

Q .- Is any or all correspondence exchanged between the Bank of Canada and the Bank of England available to the members of the House of Com-

to control the nation's monetary policies should be plain to anyone who follows the evidence, such as the above, that is accumulating from day to day.

The People Must Decide.

The time has come when the whether they will continue to suffer under the present in- ment, for control of policy is its adequate and outworn money major function. This, of course, system, controlled by a private monopoly, or whether they will liberate themselves from financial bondage by demanding a reform of the money system under government control.

It should, therefore, be profitable at greater length in order to get an orderly and scientific reform of the money system could be carried

However, first let us clear away some of the debris and rubbish that has been scattered along the highways and byways by means of propaganda intended to 'mislead people on this vital issue.

The false idea has been spread abroad that no public body can administer the money system efficiently because, it is claimed, politics would creep in and under-

Meyer Anschel Rothschild, who is democratic monetary reform make monopoly which is not a racket? no suggestion that the federal government should administer the money system. Democratic monetary reformers have no criticism of the efficiency of the present administrative machinery of the banks. They do not suggest that there be a change of administra-tion. They distinctly emphasize that the control of policy by the government, acting for the people, is all that is needed.

As the late Vincent C. Vickers, an ex-director of the Bank of England, once stated:

"As things stand today, money and the issue of credit is not controlled by the state, but by interested parties creating no wealth, working to make money out of money—most of which is not theirs at all. What we want is not nationalization of banks (this would be quite useless and probably fatal) but 'state control and state-issue of credit,' so that the money supply may be regulated solely for the benefit of the country as a whole by dis-interested authority, and not with the primary consideration given to the money business of financiers and bankers."

In the second place, government control of policy would be open to public scrutiny all the time, and any intrusion of political intrigue would be disastrous to the party which committed or permitted it. The responsibility would then fall back upon the people where it truly belongs in a democ-

In the third place, many other matters of great importance, affecting the very lives of the people, have been entrusted to the government, without serious political disastrous results. For example, we have committed to our governments the rights of citizenship, the responsibility of public wel-fare—health, education, pensions Mons?

A.—No.

I am convinced that the total failure of the federal government to control the nation's monetary proper procedure in a democracy.

Function of Government

It would seem, therefore, that if we accept the argument that the government is not fit to control monetary policy, to be consistent we should abandon all governpeople of Canada must decide ment control of policy. In other words, we should abandon governleads to an absurd conclusion and consequently denies the premise from which it began. Hence the government should be entrusted with control of the monetary system. Any government which is unwilling or unable to control unwilling or unable to certainly into discuss this important question monetary policy is certainly in-at greater length in order to get capable of directing any policy. a better comprehension of just In fact, it is practically impossible what would be involved, and how to direct or administer any function of government without its effectual control of the monetary system.

Finally, it is pointed out that if a government, whose actions are continually open to the public, is likely to make a racket controlling monetary policy, how much greater is the danger when a private monopoly has the control and operates in secret. Indeed. that leads us to a pertinent question: Do you know of any private Scientific Reform

Now since no reasonable objection can be offered to the government control of the monetary system, and since it is essential to good government and the welfare of the people. I claim that we should be ready to give our most careful and considered attention to the problem of introducing it and of getting the splendid results that are bound to accrue through a sane, orderly and scientific reform of our monetary system.

We are not asking for any particular recognition in this matter. All we want is to see it done for the general welfare of the nation and we are willing to co-operate wholeheartedly with all who honestly desire to see this change in our monetary system accomp-

> Ottown Citizen Early 1940's During War How Carada Should Contest Monetary Sigter